EXHIBIT 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 2 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	WAYMO LLC,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. Case No.
8	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
9	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,
10	INC.,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	
14	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY LEVANDOWSKI
17	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
18	TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2017
19	
20	
21	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~
22	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
23	JOB NO. 2684906
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 302
	Page 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 3 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	my counsel, I respectfully decline to answer, and I	18:04
2	assert the rights guaranteed to me under the Fifth	18:04
3	Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.	18:04
4	MS. DUNN: Q. Mr. Levandowski, if you told	18:04
5	the truth about what you did, that would make clear	18:04
6	that your downloading while at Google had nothing to	18:04
7	do with Uber; correct?	18:04
8	MR. PERLSON: Objection; form.	18:04
9	THE WITNESS: On the advice and direction of	18:04
10	my counsel, I respectfully decline to answer, and I	18:04
11	assert the rights guaranteed to me under the Fifth	18:04
12	Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.	18:04
13	MS. DUNN: Q. But you would not cooperate	18:04
14	with Uber's investigation in this case; right?	18:04
15	MR. EHRLICH: Object to form.	18:04
16	MR. PERLSON: Objection. I think I asked	18:04
17	that question and got an instruction from earlier, but	18:04
18	maybe I'm misremembering. Go ahead and ask that	18:04
19	question.	18:04
20	But you ask him that if you ask him that	18:04
21	question, everything involved in terms of your of	18:05
22	his involvement in the investigation you've waived,	18:05
23	because you're you are now by asking that	18:05
24	question, you are now eliciting the same information	18:05
25	that you've been not allowing us to go into for weeks	18:05
	Pa _s	ge 271

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 4 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	and weeks. But go ahead.	18:05
2	MS. DUNN: David	18:05
3	MR. RADKE: I'll go ahead and instruct not to	18:05
4	answer to the extent that this would involve	18:05
5	communication protected by the common interest	18:05
6	privilege.	18:05
7	MS. DUNN: That's fine.	18:05
8	MR. EHRLICH: And I would join into that	18:05
9	instruction.	18:05
10	But, to the extent you can answer the	18:05
11	question without reference to communications with any	18:05
12	counsel, or common interest protected discussions, you	18:05
13	can give your answer.	18:05
14	THE WITNESS: On the advice and direction of	18:05
15	my counsel, I respectfully decline to answer, and I	18:05
16	assert the rights guaranteed to me under the	18:05
17	Fifth Amendment to the Constitution of the United	18:05
18	States.	18:05
19	MS. DUNN: I want to put one thing on the	18:06
20	record, which is, David, I don't I don't think I	18:06
21	instructed on this question, first of all.	18:06
22	And second of all, in the letter terminating	18:06
23	Mr. Levandowski, it explains that he did not cooperate	18:06
24	with Uber's investigation in this case.	18:06
25	And I think that that is just one	18:06
	Pag	ge 272

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 5 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	WAYMO LLC,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. Case No.
8	UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; 3:17-cv-00939-WHA
9	OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING,
10	INC.,
11	Defendants.
12	/
13	
14	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
15	
16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF ANTHONY LEVANDOWSKI
17	SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA
18	TUESDAY, AUGUST 22, 2017
19	
20	
21	BY: ANDREA M. IGNACIO, CSR, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR ~
22	CSR LICENSE NO. 9830
23	JOB NO. 2684906
24	
25	PAGES 1 - 302
	Page 1

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 6 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	the	18:37
2	I'm going to instruct the witness not to	18:37
3	answer to the extent to which the it would call for	18:37
4	privileged communications.	18:37
5	To the extent it doesn't, of course, as	18:37
6	always, he's free to answer.	18:37
7	THE WITNESS: On the advice and direction of	18:37
8	my counsel, I respectfully decline to answer, and I	18:37
9	assert the rights guaranteed to me under the Fifth	18:37
10	Amendment to the Constitution of the United States.	18:38
11	MR. PERLSON: Q. Tell me everything that	18:38
12	you've done to cooperate with Uber's investigation in	18:38
13	relation to this litigation.	18:38
14	A On the advice and direction of my counsel, I	18:38
15	respectfully decline to answer, and I assert the	18:38
16	rights guaranteed to me under the Fifth Amendment to	18:38
17	the Constitution of the United States.	18:38
18	Q Was there anything that Uber's lawyers asked	18:38
19	you to do in relation to Uber's investigation, in	18:38
20	relation to this litigation, that you actually did?	18:38
21	A On the advice and direction of my counsel, I	18:38
22	respectfully decline to answer, and I assert the	18:38
23	rights guaranteed to me under the Fifth Amendment to	18:38
24	the Constitution of the United States.	18:38
25	Q Was what specifically did you tell Uber	18:38
	Pag	ge 296

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 7 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	you would not do in relation to Uber's investigation	18:38
2	in relation to this litigation?	18:39
3	A On	18:39
4	MR. EHRLICH: I'm going to object here,	18:39
5	again, based on the common interest privilege.	18:39
6	So, I'm going to instruct you not to give an	18:39
7	answer relating to any communications to Uber counsel	18:39
8	in connection with the litigation.	18:39
9	MR. RADKE: Otto Trucking joins the	18:39
10	instruction.	18:39
11	MR. EHRLICH: But outside of that, to the	18:39
12	extent they're not privileged communications, you can	18:39
13	answer.	18:39
14	MS. DUNN: Yeah, and I also will instruct to	18:39
15	the extent that they're privileged communications, to	18:39
16	not reveal the content.	18:39
17	But, to the extent that there are	18:39
18	non-privileged communications, the witness is free to	18:39
19	answer.	18:39
20	MR. PERLSON: Well, what's the line there?	18:39
21	MS. DUNN: It's not what this I mean, I	18:39
22	my instruction is proper.	18:39
23	MR. PERLSON: Are there well, let me ask	18:39
24	you this: Are there any conversations that occurred	18:39
25	with lawyers that wouldn't be privileged that he could	18:39
	Pag	ge 297

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 8 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	answer the question about that wouldn't be subject to	18:39
2	that instruction?	18:39
3	MS. DUNN: I David, your questions should	18:39
4	be to the witness.	18:39
5	MR. PERLSON: Well, I don't think it's	18:39
6	possible for him to comply with the the scope of it	18:40
7	the way that you've framed it.	18:40
8	MS. DUNN: I think that's	18:40
9	MR. PERLSON: Perhaps you should talk with	18:40
10	him about it.	18:40
11	MS. DUNN: I think I've I have made my	18:40
12	instruction. His	18:40
13	MR. PERLSON: Are there	18:40
14	MS. DUNN: He doesn't look as confused as you	18:40
15	look.	18:40
16	MR. PERLSON: Did you have any well, I	18:40
17	think his job is a little easier here today than	18:40
18	than mine.	18:40
19	Q But the the did you have any	18:40
20	communications okay.	18:40
21	Well, let me let me ask you this: Are	18:40
22	there any conversations well, that's that's what	18:40
23	I asked you, that you objected to.	18:40
24	What specifically did Uber's lawyers tell you	18:40
25	to do in relation to Uber's investigation, in relation	18:40
	Pag	ge 298

Case 3:17-cv-00939-WHA Document 1552-2 Filed 09/13/17 Page 9 of 9 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

1	to this litigation, that you refused to do?	18:40
2	MS. DUNN: Same instruction.	18:40
3	MR. EHRLICH: I think that that squarely	18:40
4	implicates privilege. So I'm going to instruct the	18:41
5	client not to answer.	18:41
6	MR. RADKE: Otto Trucking joins.	18:41
7	MR. PERLSON: Okay. I'm done for today,	18:41
8	subject to the various privilege issues and all the	18:41
9	other discovery issues out there that have yet to be	18:41
10	resolved.	18:41
11	MS. DUNN: I have only one additional	18:41
12	question.	18:41
13	MR. PERLSON: Okay. Do you want to just ask	18:41
14	it there, or do you want to switch?	18:41
15	MS. DUNN: I can ask it from here.	18:41
16	But you should look at the camera	18:41
17	THE WITNESS: Okay. I'll look at the camera.	18:41
18	MS. DUNN: so that we don't mess you up.	18:41
19	THE WITNESS: I'll pretend that you're asking	18:41
20	the question.	18:41
21		18:41
22	FURTHER EXAMINATION	18:41
23	BY MS. DUNN:	18:41
24	Q Mr. Levandowski, do you recall that	18:41
25	Mr. Perlson asked you about the relevance of the	18:41
	Pa	ge 299